arXiv:1005.0140v2 [math-ph] 28 Mar 2011

Representations of hom-Lie algebras *

Yunhe Sheng
Department of Mathematics, Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, Jilin, China
email: shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study representations of hom-Lie algebras. In particular, the adjoint representation and the trivial representation of hom-Lie algebras are studied in detail. Derivations, deformations, central extensions and derivation extensions of hom-Lie algebras are also studied as an application.

1 Introduction

The notion of hom-Lie algebras was introduced by Hartwig, Larsson, and Silvestrov in [5] as part of a study of deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. In a hom-Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity is twisted by a linear map, called the hom-Jacobi identity. Some q-deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras have the structure of a hom-Lie algebra [5]. Because of close relation to discrete and deformed vector fields and differential calculus [5, 7, 8], hom-Lie algebras are widely studied recently [1, 2, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14].

In nowadays mathematics, much of the research on certain algebraic object is to study its representation theory. The representation theory of an algebraic object reveals some of its profound structures hidden underneath. A good example is that the structure of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra is much revealed via its representation theory. However, according to the author's knowledge, the representation theory of hom-Lie algebras is not so well developed. Donald Yau defined the hom-L-modules for hom-Lie algebras and studied the corresponding homology in [13]. Makhlouf and Silvestrov studied the formal deformations of hom-Lie algebras in [9], where only 1-hom-cochains, 2-hom-cochains, 1-coboundary operator and 2-coboundary operator are defined without the help of any representation.

The purpose of this paper is to fulfill this gap, i.e. to define representations of hom-Lie algebras and corresponding hom-cochain complexes. In particular, we obtain the adjoint representation and the trivial representation of hom-Lie algebras. We give a detail study on the cohomologies associated to the adjoint representation and the trivial representation. A very interesting phenomenon is that associated to a (regular) hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$, there is a series of adjoint representations ad_s, which we call α^s-adjoint representation, defined by

$$\mathrm{ad}_s u(v) = [\alpha^s(u), v]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

---

[0] *Keyword: hom-Lie algebras, representations of hom-Lie algebras, derivations, deformations, extensions*
[0] *MSC*: 17B99, 55U15.
[*] Research partially supported by NSF of China (11026046) and Doctoral Fund. of MEC (20100061120096).

As an application, we study derivations, deformations, central extensions and derivation extensions of hom-Lie algebras in detail. In [1], the authors also construct a cochain complex associated to a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra independently and study the one-parameter formal deformation of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras. It turns out that the cochain complex therein corresponds to the α^{-1}-adjoint representation in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 after giving the definition of hom-Lie algebras, we show that the direct sum of two hom-Lie algebras is still a hom-Lie algebra. A linear map between hom-Lie algebras is a morphism if and only if its graph is a hom-Lie sub-algebra. In Section 3 we study derivations of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras. For any nonnegative integer k, we define α^k-derivations of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$. Considering the direct sum of the space of α^k-derivations, we prove that it is a Lie algebra (Proposition 3.4). In particular, any α-derivation gives rise to a derivation extension of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ (Theorem 3.6). In Section 4 we give the definition of representations of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras and the corresponding coboundary operators. We show that one can obtain the semidirect product multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}, \alpha + A)$ associated to any representation ρ_A on V of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ (Proposition 4.5). In Section 5 we study the trivial representations of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras. We show that central extensions of a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra are controlled by the second cohomology with coefficients in the trivial representation (Theorem 5.2). In Section 6 we study the adjoint representation of a regular hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$. For any integer s, we define the α^s-adjoint representation. We show that a 1-cocycle associated to the α^s-adjoint representation is exactly an α^{s+1}-derivation of the regular hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ (Proposition 6.4). In Subsection 6.1 we mainly study the α^{-1}-adjoint representation. We show that similar to the case of Lie algebras, any deformation of a regular hom-Lie algebra is controlled by its second cohomology with coefficients in the α^{-1}-adjoint representation. We also give the definition of hom-Nijenhuis operators of regular hom-Lie algebras. We show that the deformation generated by a hom-Nijenhuis operator is trivial. In Subsection 6.2 we analyze the cohomology of hom-Lie algebras with coefficients in the α-adjoint representation.

Acknowledgements: We would like to give our warmest thanks to Zhangju Liu and Abdenacer Makhlouf for very helpful comments and also give our warmest thanks to Chenchang Zhu for the help during we stayed in Courant Research Center, Göttingen, where a part of work was done. We also give our special thanks to referees for many helpful suggestions.

2 Hom-Lie algebras

In this paper, we follow a slightly more general definition of Hom-Lie algebras from [10, 2].

Definition 2.1. (1) *A hom-Lie algebra is a triple* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ *consisting of a vector space* $\mathfrak{g}$*, a skew-symmetric bilinear map (bracket)* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \wedge^2\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ *and a linear map* $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ *satisfying the following hom-Jacobi identity:*

$$[\alpha(u), [v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\alpha(v), [w, u]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\alpha(w), [u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0; \qquad (1)$$

(2) *A hom-Lie algebra is called a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra if* α *is an algebraic morphism, i.e. for any* $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$*, we have* $\alpha([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}$*;*

(3) *A hom-Lie algebra is called a regular hom-Lie algebra if* α *is an algebra automorphism;*

(4) *A sub-vector space* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ *is a hom-Lie sub-algebra of* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ *if* $\alpha(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ *and* $\mathfrak{h}$ *is closed under the bracket operation* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$*, i.e.*

$$[u, u']_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad \forall\ u, u' \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Consider the direct sum of two hom-Lie algebras, we have

Proposition 2.2. *Given two hom-Lie algebras* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \beta)$*, there is a hom-Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha + \beta)$*, where the skew-symmetric bilinear map* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}} : \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ *is given by*

$$[(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}} = ([u_1, u_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [v_1, v_2]_{\mathfrak{k}}), \quad \forall\ u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{g},\ v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{k},$$

and the linear map $(\alpha + \beta) : \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ *is given by*

$$(\alpha + \beta)(u, v) = (\alpha(u), \beta(v)), \quad \forall\ u \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

A morphism of hom-Lie algebras $\phi : (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \beta)$ is a linear map $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= [\phi(u), \phi(v)]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \quad \forall\ u, v \in \mathfrak{g}, && (2) \\ \phi \circ \alpha &= \beta \circ \phi. && (3) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ the graph of a linear map $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}$.

Proposition 2.3. *A linear map* $\phi : (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \beta)$ *is a morphism of hom-Lie algebras if and only if the graph* $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ *is a hom-Lie sub-algebra of* $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha + \beta)$*.*

Proof. Let $\phi : (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \beta)$ be a morphism of hom-Lie algebras, then for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$[(u, \phi(u)), (v, \phi(v))]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}} = ([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\phi(u), \phi(v)]_{\mathfrak{k}}) = ([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi[u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Thus the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}}$. Furthermore, by (3), we have

$$(\alpha + \beta)(u, \phi(u)) = (\alpha(u), \beta \circ \phi(u)) = (\alpha(u), \phi \circ \alpha(u)),$$

which implies that $(\alpha + \beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi) \subset \mathfrak{G}_\phi$. Thus $\mathfrak{G}_\phi$ is a hom-Lie sub-algebra of $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha + \beta)$.

Conversely, if the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\phi \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is a hom-Lie sub-algebra of $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha + \beta)$, then we have

$$[(u, \phi(u)), (v, \phi(v))]_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{k}} = ([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [\phi(u), \phi(v)]_{\mathfrak{k}}) \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which implies that

$$[\phi(u), \phi(v)]_{\mathfrak{k}} = \phi[u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Furthermore, $(\alpha + \beta)(\mathfrak{G}_\phi) \subset \mathfrak{G}_\phi$ yields that

$$(\alpha + \beta)(u, \phi(u)) = (\alpha(u), \beta \circ \phi(u)) \in \mathfrak{G}_\phi,$$

which is equivalent to the condition $\beta \circ \phi(u) = \phi \circ \alpha(u)$, i.e. $\beta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \alpha$. Therefore, ϕ is a morphism of hom-Lie algebras. ■

3 Derivations of hom-Lie algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra. For any nonnegative integer k, denote by α^k the k-times composition of α, i.e.

$$\alpha^k = \alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha \ (k\text{-times}).$$

In particular, $\alpha^0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\alpha^1 = \alpha$. If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ is a regular hom-Lie algebra, we denote by α^{-k} the k-times composition of α^{-1}, the inverse of α.

Definition 3.1. *For any nonnegative integer k, a linear map $D : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is called an α^k-derivation of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$, if*

$$[D, \alpha] = 0, \quad i.e. \quad D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \tag{4}$$

and

$$D[u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [D(u), \alpha^k(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [\alpha^k(u), D(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall\ u, v \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{5}$$

For a regular hom-Lie algebra, α^{-k}-derivations can be defined similarly.

Remark 3.2. *In [9], the cohomologies in degree-1 and degree-2 of hom-Lie algebras are defined. a linear map $D : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is an α^0-derivation is equivalent to that D is a 1-cocycle. In Section 6 we will introduce α^s-adjoint representations of hom-Lie algebras. We will show that D is an α^{s+1}-derivation if and only if D is a 1-cocycle associated to the α^s-adjoint representation.*

Denote by $\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of α^k-derivations of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$. For any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $\alpha(u) = u$, define $D_k(u) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$D_k(u)(v) = [\alpha^k(v), u]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall\ v \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then $D_k(u)$ is an α^{k+1}-derivation, which we call an **inner** α^{k+1}-derivation. In fact, we have

$$D_k(u)(\alpha(v)) = [\alpha^{k+1}(v), u]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \alpha([\alpha^k(v), u]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \alpha \circ D_k(u)(v),$$

which implies that (4) in Definition 3.1 is satisfied. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(u)([v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= [\alpha^k([v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}), u]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [[\alpha^k(v), \alpha^k(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha(u)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [\alpha^{k+1}(v), [\alpha^k(w), u]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[\alpha^k(v), u]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha^{k+1}(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [\alpha^{k+1}(v), D_k(u)(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [D_k(u)(v), \alpha^{k+1}(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_k(u)$ is an α^{k+1}-derivation. Denote by $\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of inner α^k-derivations, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{[\alpha^{k-1}(\cdot), u]_{\mathfrak{g}} |\ u \in \mathfrak{g},\ \alpha(u) = u\}. \tag{6}$$

For any $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $D' \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(\mathfrak{g})$, define their commutator $[D, D']$ as usual:

$$[D, D'] = D \circ D' - D' \circ D. \tag{7}$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any $D \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $D' \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^s}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$[D, D'] \in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{k+s}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Proof. For any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}[D,D']([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &=& D\circ D'([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}})-D'\circ D([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}})\\ &=& D([D'(u),\alpha^s(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\alpha^s(u),D'(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}})-D'([D(u),\alpha^k(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\alpha^k(u),D(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}})\\ &=& [D\circ D'(u),\alpha^{k+s}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\alpha^k\circ D'(u),D\circ\alpha^s(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ && +[D\circ\alpha^s(u),\alpha^k\circ D'(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[\alpha^{k+s}(u),D\circ D'(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ && -[D'\circ D(u),\alpha^{k+s}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-[\alpha^s\circ D(u),D'\circ\alpha^k(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ && -[D'\circ\alpha^k(u),\alpha^s\circ D(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-[\alpha^{k+s}(u),D'\circ D(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}.\end{array}$$

Since D and D' satisfy

$$D\circ\alpha=\alpha\circ D,\quad D'\circ\alpha=\alpha\circ D',$$

we have

$$\alpha^k\circ D'=D'\circ\alpha^k,\quad D\circ\alpha^s=\alpha^s\circ D.$$

Therefore, we have

$$[D,D']([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \;=\; [\alpha^{k+s}(u),[D,D'](v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[[D,D'](u),\alpha^{k+s}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that

$$[D,D']\circ\alpha=D\circ D'\circ\alpha-D'\circ D\circ\alpha=\alpha\circ D\circ D'-\alpha\circ D'\circ D=\alpha\circ[D,D'],$$

which yields that $[D,D']\in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{k+s}}(\mathfrak{g})$. ■

Denote by

$$\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g})=\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 3.3, obviously we have

Proposition 3.4. *With the above notations,* $\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ *is a Lie algebra, in which the Lie bracket is given by* (7)*.*

Remark 3.5. *Similarly, we can obtain a Lie algebra* $\bigoplus_k \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{g})$*, where* k *is any integer, for a regular hom-Lie algebra.*

At the end of this section, we consider the derivation extension of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$ and give an application of the α-derivation $\mathrm{Der}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$.

For any linear map $D:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$, consider the vector space $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}D$. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot]_D$ on $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}D$ by

$$[u,v]_D=[u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}},\quad [D,u]_D=-[u,D]_D=D(u),\quad \forall\ u,v\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Define a linear map $\alpha_D:\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}D\to\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}D$ by $\alpha_D(u,D)=(\alpha(u),D)$, i.e.

$$\alpha_D=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.6. *With the above notations,* $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}D,[\cdot,\cdot]_D,\alpha_D)$ *is a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra if and only if* D *is an* α*-derivation of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$*.*

Proof. For any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g},\ m, n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_D[(u, mD), (v, nD)]_D &= \alpha_D([u, v]_\mathfrak{g} + mD(v) - nD(u)) \\ &= \alpha([u, v]_\mathfrak{g}) + m\alpha \circ D(v) - n\alpha \circ D(u),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[\alpha_D(u, mD), \alpha_D(v, nD)]_D &= [(\alpha(u), mD), (\alpha(v), nD)]_D \\ &= [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_\mathfrak{g} + mD \circ \alpha(v) - nD \circ \alpha(u).\end{aligned}$$

Since α is an algebra morphism, thus α_D is an algebra morphism if and only if

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[\alpha_D(D), [u, v]_D]_D + [\alpha_D(u), [v, D]_D]_D + [\alpha_D(v), [D, u]_D]_D \\ =\ & D([u, v]_\mathfrak{g}) - [\alpha(u), D(v)]_\mathfrak{g} - [D(u), \alpha(v)]_\mathfrak{g}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is obvious that the hom-Jacobi identity is satisfied if and only if

$$D([u, v]_\mathfrak{g}) - [\alpha(u), D(v)]_\mathfrak{g} - [D(u), \alpha(v)]_\mathfrak{g} = 0.$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}D, [\cdot, \cdot]_D, \alpha_D)$ is a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra if and only if D is an α-derivation of $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$. ■

The author studied the derivation extension of 3D-dimensional Lie algebras and therefore gave the classification of 4D-dimensional Lie algebras using Poisson method in [11].

4 Representations of hom-Lie algebras

In this section we study representations of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras and give the corresponding coboundary operators. We also prove that one can form semidirect product multiplicative hom-Lie algebras when given representations of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras. Please see [3, 6] for more details about Lie algebras and their cohomologies. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra and V be an arbitrary vector space. Let $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be an arbitrary linear transformation from V to V.

Definition 4.1. *A representation of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, \alpha)$ on the vector space V with respect to $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a linear map $\rho_A : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, such that for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following equalities are satisfied:*

$$\rho_A(\alpha(u)) \circ A = A \circ \rho_A(u); \tag{9}$$

$$\rho_A([u, v]_\mathfrak{g}) \circ A = \rho_A(\alpha(u)) \circ \rho_A(v) - \rho_A(\alpha(v)) \circ \rho_A(u). \tag{10}$$

The set of k-cochains on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V, which we denote by $C^k(\mathfrak{g}; V)$, is the set of skew-symmetric k-linear maps from $\mathfrak{g} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{g}$ (k-times) to V:

$$C^k(\mathfrak{g}; V) \triangleq \{f : \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow V \text{ is a linear map}\}.$$

A k-hom-cochain on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V is defined to be a k-cochain $f \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ such that it is compatible with α and A in the sense that $A \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, i.e.

$$A(f(u_1, \cdots, u_k)) = f(\alpha(u_1), \cdots, \alpha(u_k)).$$

Denote by $C^k_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ the set of k-hom-cochains:

$$C^k_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V) \triangleq \{f \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; V) |\ A \circ f = f \circ \alpha\}.$$

Define $\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} : C^k_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f(u_1, \cdots, u_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho_A(\alpha^k(u_i))(f(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, u_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} f([u_i, u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha(u_1) \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \alpha(u_{k+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *With the above notations, for any $f \in C^k_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$, we have*

$$(\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f) \circ \alpha = A \circ \mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f.$$

Thus we obtain a well-defined map

$$\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} : C^k_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V).$$

Proof. The conclusion follows from the facts that $f \circ \alpha = A \circ f$ and α is an algebra morphism. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f(\alpha(u_1), \cdots, \alpha(u_{k+1})) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))(f(\alpha(u_1), \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \alpha(u_{k+1}))) \\ & + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} f([\alpha(u_i), \alpha(u_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha^2(u_1) \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \alpha^2(u_{k+1})) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i)) \circ A \circ f(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, u_{k+1}) \\ & + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} f(\alpha[u_i, u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha^2(u_1) \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \alpha^2(u_{k+1})) \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} A \circ \rho_A(\alpha^k(u_i))(f(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, u_{k+1})) \\ & + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} A \circ f([u_i, u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha(u_1) \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \alpha(u_{k+1})) \\ = & A \circ \mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f(u_1, \cdots, u_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Remark 4.3. *The condition* $A \circ f = f \circ \alpha$ *in the definition of* k*-hom-cochains is necessary as we will see in the proof of* $\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A}^2 = 0$*. When considering* $\mathfrak{g}$ *represents on itself, i.e. the adjoint representation, this condition reduces to* $\alpha \circ f = f \circ \alpha$*. Note that in Definition 5.2 in [9], this condition is omitted.*

Proposition 4.4. *The map* d_{ρ_A} *is a coboundary operator, i.e.* $\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A}^2 = 0$*.*

The proof of this proposition is “standard", which is given in Appendix.

Associated to the representation ρ_A, we obtain the complex $(C^k_{\alpha,A}(\mathfrak{g}; V), \mathrm{d}_{\rho_A})$. Denote the set of closed k-hom-cochains by $Z^k(\mathfrak{g}; \rho_A)$ and the set of exact k-hom-cochains by $B^k(\mathfrak{g}; \rho_A)$. Denote the corresponding cohomology by

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}; \rho_A) = Z^k(\mathfrak{g}; \rho_A)/B^k(\mathfrak{g}; \rho_A).$$

In the case of Lie algebras, we can form semidirect products when given representations. Similarly, we have

Proposition 4.5. *Given a representation* ρ_A *of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ *on the vector space* V *with respect to* $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$*. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket operation* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A} : \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ *by*

$$[(u, X), (v, Y)]_{\rho_A} = ([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_A(u)(Y) - \rho_A(v)(X)). \tag{11}$$

Define $\alpha + A : \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ *by*

$$(\alpha + A)(u, X) = (\alpha(u), AX).$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}, \alpha + A)$ *is a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra, which we call the semidirect product of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$ *and* V*.*

Proof. First we show that $\alpha + A$ is an algebra morphism. On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha + A)[(u, X), (v, Y)]_{\rho_A} &= (\alpha + A)([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_A(u)(Y) - \rho_A(v)(X)) \\ &= (\alpha([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}), A \circ \rho_A(u)(Y) - A \circ \rho_A(v)(X)).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[(\alpha + A)(u, X), (\alpha + A)(v, Y)]_{\rho_A} &= [(\alpha(u), AX), (\alpha(v), AY)]_{\rho_A} \\ &= ([\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho_A(\alpha(u))(AY) - \rho_A(\alpha(v))(AX)).\end{aligned}$$

Since α is an algebra morphism, ρ_A and A satisfy (9), it follows that $\alpha + A$ is an algebra morphism with respect to the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}$. It is not hard to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha + A)(u, X), [(v, Y), (w, Z)]_{\rho_A}]_{\rho_A} + c.p.((u, X), (v, Y), (w, Z)) \\ = \; & [\alpha(u), [v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + c.p.(u, v, w) \\ & + \rho_A(\alpha(u)) \circ \rho_A(v)(Z) - \rho_A(\alpha(v)) \circ \rho_A(u)(Z) - \rho_A([u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ A(Z) \\ & - \rho_A(\alpha(u)) \circ \rho_A(w)(Y) - \rho_A([w, u]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ A(Y) + \rho_A(\alpha(w)) \circ \rho_A(u)(Y) \\ & - \rho_A([v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ A(X) + \rho_A(\alpha(v)) \circ \rho_A(w)(X) - \rho_A(\alpha(w)) \circ \rho_A(v)(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $c.p.(a, b, c)$ means the cyclic permutations of a, b, c. By (10), the hom-Jacobi identity is satisfied. Thus, $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\rho_A}, \alpha + A)$ is a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra. ■

5 The trivial representation of hom-Lie algebras

In this section, we study the trivial representation of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras. As an application, we show that the central extension of a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$ is controlled by the second cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in the trivial representation.

Now let $V=\mathbb{R}$, then we have $\mathfrak{gl}(V)=\mathbb{R}$. Any $A\in\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is exactly a real number, which we use the notation r. Let $\rho:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{gl}(V)=\mathbb{R}$ be the zero map. Obviously, ρ is a representation of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$ with respect to any $r\in\mathbb{R}$. We will always assume that $r=1$. We call this representation the **trivial representation** of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$.

Associated to the trivial representation, the set of k-cochains on $\mathfrak{g}$, which we denote by $C^k(\mathfrak{g})$, is the set of skew-symmetric k-linear maps from $\mathfrak{g}\times\cdots\times\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $C^k(\mathfrak{g})=\wedge^k\mathfrak{g}^*$. The set of k-hom-cochains is given by

$$C^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})=\{f\in\wedge^k\mathfrak{g}^*|f\circ\alpha=f\}.$$

The corresponding coboundary operator $\mathrm{d}_T:C^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})\longrightarrow C^{k+1}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_Tf(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+1})=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}f([u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+1})).$$

Denote by $Z^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$ and $B^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$ the corresponding closed k-hom-cochains and exact k-hom-cochains respectively. Denote the resulting cohomology by $H^k(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 5.1. *With the above notations, associated to the trivial representation of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$, we have*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^0(\mathfrak{g}) &=& \mathbb{R};\\ H^1(\mathfrak{g}) &=& \{f\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})|\ f|_{[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}}=0\}.\end{array}$$

Proof. Obviously, any $s\in\mathbb{R}$ is a 0-hom-cochain. By the definition of coboundary operator d_T, we have $\mathrm{d}_Ts=0$. Thus we have $H^0(\mathfrak{g})=\mathbb{R}$.

For any $f\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_Tf(u,v)=-f([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Therefore, f is closed if and only if $f|_{[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}}=0$. The conclusion follows from the fact that there is no exact 1-hom-cochain. ■

In the following we consider central extensions of the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$. We will see that it is controlled by the second cohomology $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$.

Obviously, $(\mathbb{R},0,1)$ is an abelian multiplicative hom-Lie algebra with the trivial bracket and the identity morphism. Let $\theta\in C^2_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$, we consider the direct sum $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}$ with the following bracket

$$[(u,s),(v,t)]_\theta=([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta(u,v)),\quad\forall\ u,v\in\mathfrak{g},\ s,t\in\mathbb{R}.\tag{12}$$

Define $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}:\mathfrak{h}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{h}$ by $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}(u,s)=(\alpha(u),s)$, i.e.

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}\triangleq\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 5.2. *The triple $(\mathfrak{h},[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a multiplicative hom-Lie algebra if and only if $\theta\in C^2_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$ is a 1-cocycle associated to the trivial representation, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{d}_T\theta=0.$$

We call the multiplicative hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{h},[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta,\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}})$ the *central extension* of $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$ by the abelian hom-Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R},0,1)$.

Proof. The fact that α_η is an algebra morphism with respect to the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ follows from the fact that $\theta\circ\alpha=\theta$. More precisely, we have

$$\alpha_\eta[(u,s),(v,t)]_\theta=(\alpha[u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta(u,v)).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[\alpha_\eta(u,s),\alpha_\eta(v,t)]_\theta=[(\alpha(u),s),(\alpha(v),t)]_\theta=([\alpha(u),\alpha(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta(\alpha(u),\alpha(v))).$$

Since α is an algebra morphism and $\theta(\alpha(u),\alpha(v))=\theta(u,v)$, we deduce that α_η is an algebra morphism.

By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\alpha_\eta(u,s),[(v,t),(w,m)]_\theta]_\theta+c.p.((u,s),(v,t),(w,m))\\
=\;&[(\alpha(u),s),([v,w]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta(v,w))]_\theta+c.p.((u,s),(v,t),(w,m))\\
=\;&[\alpha(u),[v,w]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}}+c.p.(u,v,w)+\theta(\alpha(u),[v,w]_{\mathfrak{g}})+c.p.(u,v,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the hom-Jacobi identity of $\mathfrak{g}$, $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ satisfies the hom-Jacobi identity if and only if

$$\theta(\alpha(u),[v,w]_{\mathfrak{g}})+\theta(\alpha(v),[w,u]_{\mathfrak{g}})+\theta(\alpha(w),[u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}})=0,$$

which exactly means that $\mathrm{d}_T\theta=0$. ■

Proposition 5.3. *For $\theta_1,\theta_2\in Z^2(\mathfrak{g})$, if $\theta_1-\theta_2$ is exact, the corresponding two central extensions $(\mathfrak{h},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\theta_1},\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\theta_2},\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that $\theta_1-\theta_2=\mathrm{d}_Tf$, $f\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus we have

$$\theta_1(u,v)-\theta_2(u,v)=\mathrm{d}_Tf(u,v)=-f([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Define $f_{\mathfrak{h}}:\mathfrak{h}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{h}$ by

$$f_{\mathfrak{h}}(u,s)=(u,s+f(u)).$$

It is straightforward to see that $f_{\mathfrak{h}}\circ\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}=\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}\circ f_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Obviously, $f_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathfrak{h}}[(u,s),(v,t)]_{\theta_1}&=f_{\mathfrak{h}}([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta_1(u,v))\\
&=([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta_1(u,v)+f([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}))\\
&=([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}},\theta_2(u,v))\\
&=[f_{\mathfrak{h}}(u,s),f_{\mathfrak{h}}(v,t)]_{\theta_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is also an isomorphism of multiplicative hom-Lie algebras. ■

6 The adjoint representation of hom-Lie algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$ be a regular hom-Lie algebra. We consider that $\mathfrak{g}$ represents on itself via the bracket with respect to the morphism α. A very interesting phenomenon is that the adjoint representation of hom-Lie algebras is not unique as we will see in the sequel.

Definition 6.1. *For any integer s, the α^s-adjoint representation of the regular hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha)$, which we denote by ad_s, is defined by*

$$\mathrm{ad}_s(u)(v) = [\alpha^s(u), v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall\ u, v \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u)) \circ \alpha &=& \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(u); \\ \mathrm{ad}_s([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \circ \alpha &=& \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u)) \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(v) - \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(v)) \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(u). \end{array}$$

Thus the definition of α^s-adjoint representation is well defined.

Proof. The conclusion follows from

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u))(\alpha(v)) &=& [\alpha^{s+1}(u), \alpha(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &=& \alpha([\alpha^s(u), v]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \alpha \circ \mathrm{ad}_s(u)(v), \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{ad}_s([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}})(\alpha(w)) &=& [\alpha^s([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \alpha(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &=& [[\alpha^s(u), \alpha^s(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha(w)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &=& [\alpha^{s+1}(u), [\alpha^s(v), w]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[\alpha^s(u), w]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha^{s+1}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &=& \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(u))(\mathrm{ad}_s(v)(w)) - \mathrm{ad}_s(\alpha(v))(\mathrm{ad}_s(u)(w)). \ \blacksquare \end{array}$$

The set of k-hom-cochains on $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}$, which we denote by $C^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$, is given by

$$C^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = \{f \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) |\ f \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ f\}.$$

In particular, the set of 0-hom-cochains are given by:

$$C^0_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g} | \alpha(u) = u\}.$$

Associated to the α^s-adjoint representation, the coboundary operator $\mathrm{d}_s : C^k_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{d}_s f(u_1, \cdots, u_{k+1}) &=& \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} [\alpha^{k+s}(u_i), f(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, u_{k+1})] \\ && \displaystyle + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} f([u_i, u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha(u_1) \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \alpha(u_{k+1})). \end{array}$$

For the α^s-adjoint representation ad_s, we obtain the α^s-adjoint complex $(C^\bullet_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}), \mathrm{d}_s)$ and the corresponding cohomology

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathrm{ad}_s) = Z^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathrm{ad}_s) / B^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathrm{ad}_s).$$

Remark 6.3. *In [1], the authors obtain a cochain complex for multiplicative hom-Lie algebra independently. It is straightforward to see that the cochain complex therein corresponds to α^{-1}-adjoint representation. Here we focus on representations, thus we require that α is invertible, i.e. α^{-1} exists. As did in [1], if we do not care about representations, but only the cochain complex and the resulting cohomology $H^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathrm{ad}_s)$ $(k > 0)$, the condition that α is invertible can be omitted.*

In the case of Lie algebras, a 1-cocycle associated to the adjoint representation is a derivation. Similarly, we have

Proposition 6.4. *Associated to the* α^s*-adjoint representation* ad_s *of the regular hom-Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$*,* $D\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$ *is a* 1*-cocycle if and only if* D *is an* α^{s+1}*-derivation, i.e.* $D\in \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^{s+1}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from the definition of the coboundary operator d_s. D is closed if and only if

$$\mathrm{d}_s(D)(u,v)=[\alpha^{s+1}(u),D(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-[\alpha^{s+1}(v),D(u)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-D([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}})=0,$$

which implies that D is an α^{s+1}-derivation. ■

6.1 The α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}

Proposition 6.5. *Associated to the* α^{-1}*-adjoint representation* ad_{-1}*, we have*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^0(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_{-1}) &=& \{u\in\mathfrak{g}|\alpha(u)=u,\ [u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0,\quad \forall\ v\in\mathfrak{g}\};\\ H^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_{-1}) &=& \mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^0}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^0}(\mathfrak{g}). \end{array}$$

Proof. For any 0-hom-cochain $u\in C^0_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_{-1}u(v)=[\alpha^{-1}(v),u]_{\mathfrak{g}},\quad \forall\ v\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Therefore, u is a closed 0-hom-cochain if and only if $[\alpha^{-1}(v),u]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0$, which is equivalent to that $\alpha([\alpha^{-1}(v),u]_{\mathfrak{g}})=[v,u]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0$, which implies the first conclusion. By Proposition 6.4, we have $Z^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_{-1})=\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^0}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is obvious that $B^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_{-1})=\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^0}(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, we have $H^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_{-1})=\mathrm{Der}_{\alpha^0}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Inn}_{\alpha^0}(\mathfrak{g})$. ■

Let $\omega\in C^2_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$ be a skew-symmetric bilinear operator commuting with α. Consider a t-parametrized family of bilinear operations

$$[u,v]_t=[u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}+t\omega(u,v). \tag{13}$$

Since ω commutes with α, α is a morphism with respect to the brackets $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$ for every t. If all the brackets $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$ endow $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_t,\alpha)$ regular hom-Lie algebra structures, we say that ω generates a deformation of the regular hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$. By computing the hom-Jacobi identity of $[\cdot,\cdot]_t$, this is equivalent to the conditions

$$\omega(\alpha(u),[v,w]_{\mathfrak{g}})+[\alpha(u),\omega(v,w)]_{\mathfrak{g}}+c.p.(u,v,w) = 0; \tag{14}$$

$$\omega(\alpha(u),\omega(v,w))+c.p.(u,v,w) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Obviously, (14) means that ω is closed with respect to the α^{-1}-adjoint representation ad_{-1}, i.e. $\mathrm{d}_{-1}\omega=0$. Furthermore, (15) means that ω must itself define a hom-Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$.

A deformation is said to be trivial if there is a linear operator $N\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$ such that for $T_t=\mathrm{Id}+tN$, there holds

$$T_t[u,v]_t=[T_t(u),T_t(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{16}$$

Definition 6.6. *A linear operator* $N\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$ *is called a hom-Nijenhuis operator if we have*

$$[Nu,Nv]_{\mathfrak{g}}=N[u,v]_N, \tag{17}$$

where the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_N$ *is defined by*

$$[u,v]_N\triangleq[Nu,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}+[u,Nv]_{\mathfrak{g}}-N[u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Please see [4] for more details about Nijenhuis operators of Lie algebras.

Theorem 6.7. *Let* $N \in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$ *be a hom-Nijenhuis operator. Then a deformation of the regular hom-Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\alpha)$ *can be obtained by putting*

$$\omega(u,v)=\mathrm{d}_{-1}N(u,v)=[u,v]_N.$$

Furthermore, this deformation is trivial.

Proof. Since $\omega=\mathrm{d}_{-1}N$, $\mathrm{d}_{-1}\omega=0$ is valid. To see that ω generates a deformation, we need to check the hom-Jacobi identity for ω. Using the explicit expression of ω, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \omega(\alpha(u),\omega(v,w))+c.p.(u,v,w)\\ = & [N\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[N\alpha(v),[w,Nu]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\alpha(w),N[u,v]_N]_\mathfrak{g}+c.p.(u,v,w)\\ & +N[\alpha(v),N[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}-[N\alpha(v),N[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+c.p.(u,v,w)\\ & -N\big([\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\alpha(w),[u,Nv]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}\big)+c.p.(u,v,w).\end{array}$$

Since N commutes with α, by the hom-Jacobi identity of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$[N\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[N\alpha(v),[w,Nu]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}=[[Nu,Nv]_\mathfrak{g},\alpha(w)]_\mathfrak{g}.$$

Since N is a hom-Nijenhuis operator, we have

$$[N\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[N\alpha(v),[w,Nu]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\alpha(w),N[u,v]_N]_\mathfrak{g}+c.p.(u,v,w)=0.$$

Furthermore, also by the fact that N is a hom-Nijenhuis operator, we have

$$N[\alpha(v),N[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}-[N\alpha(v),N[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}=-N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+N^2[\alpha(v),[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}.$$

Thus by the hom-Jacobi identity of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$N[\alpha(v),N[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}-[N\alpha(v),N[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+c.p.(u,v,w)=-N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+c.p.(u,v,w).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \omega(\alpha(u),\omega(v,w))+c.p.(u,v,w)\\ = & -N[N\alpha(v),[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}-N\big([\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\alpha(w),[u,Nv]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}\big)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\ = & -N\big([\alpha(Nv),[w,u]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\alpha(u),[Nv,w]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}+[\alpha(w),[u,Nv]_\mathfrak{g}]_\mathfrak{g}\big)+c.p.(u,v,w)\\ = & 0.\end{array}$$

Thus ω generates a deformation of the hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_\mathfrak{g},\alpha)$.

Let $T_t=\mathrm{Id}+tN$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_t[u,v]_t & = & (\mathrm{Id}+tN)([u,v]_\mathfrak{g}+t[u,v]_N)\\ & = & [u,v]_\mathfrak{g}+t([u,v]_N+N[u,v]_\mathfrak{g})+t^2N[u,v]_N.\end{array}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [T_t(u),T_t(v)]_\mathfrak{g} & = & [u+tNu,v+tNv]_\mathfrak{g}\\ & = & [u,v]_\mathfrak{g}+t([Nu,v]_\mathfrak{g}+[u,Nv]_\mathfrak{g})+t^2[Nu,Nv]_\mathfrak{g}.\end{array}$$

By (17), we have

$$T_t[u,v]_t=[T_t(u),T_t(v)]_\mathfrak{g},$$

which implies that the deformation is trivial. ■

6.2 The α^0-adjoint representation ad_0

Proposition 6.8. *Associated to the α^0-adjoint representation ad_0, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0) &= \{u\in\mathfrak{g}|\alpha(u)=u,\ [u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0,\ \forall\ v\in\mathfrak{g}\};\\ H^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0) &= \mathrm{Der}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Inn}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any 0-hom-cochain $u\in C^0_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_0u(v)=[\alpha^0(v),u]_{\mathfrak{g}}=[v,u]_{\mathfrak{g}},\quad \forall\ v\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Therefore, the set of closed 0-hom-cochain $Z^0(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0)$ is given by

$$Z^0(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0)=\{u\in C^0_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})|[u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0,\ \forall\ v\in\mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Thus we have

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0)=\{u\in\mathfrak{g}|\alpha(u)=u,\ [u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}=0,\ \forall\ v\in\mathfrak{g}\}.$$

For any $f\in C^1_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_0f(u,v)=[\alpha(u),f(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-[\alpha(v),f(u)]_{\mathfrak{g}}-f([u,v]_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Therefore, the set of closed 1-hom-cochain $Z^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0)$ is exactly the set of α-derivation $\mathrm{Der}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$. Furthermore, it is obvious that any exact 1-hom-cochain is of the form $[\cdot,u]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for some $u\in C^0_\alpha(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, we have $B^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0)=\mathrm{Inn}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$, which implies that $H^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathrm{ad}_0)=\mathrm{Der}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Inn}_\alpha(\mathfrak{g})$. ■

In Section 3 we have already proved that associated to any α-derivation D of the hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$, there is a derivation extension $(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathbb{R}D,[\cdot,\cdot]_D,\alpha_D)$. Thus the derivation extension of the hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha)$ is controlled by its first cohomology with coefficients in the α^0-adjoint representation.

Appendix: The proof of Proposition 4.4

By straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}^2_{\rho_A}f(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+2})\\ =\ &\sum_{i=1}^{k+2}(-1)^{i+1}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))(\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A}f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+2}))\\ &+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A}f([u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2})). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))(\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+2}))\\
=\;&\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{p+1}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))\circ\rho_A(\alpha^k(u_p))(f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+2})) && (18)\\
&+\sum_{p=i+1}^{k+2}(-1)^{p}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))\circ\rho_A(\alpha^k(u_p))(f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,u_{k+2})) && (19)\\
&+\sum_{i<p<q}(-1)^{p+q}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))(f([u_p,u_q]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots\widehat{u_q}\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2})))\\
&+\sum_{p<q<i}(-1)^{p+q}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))(f([u_p,u_q]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_q},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2})))\\
&+\sum_{p<i<q}(-1)^{p+q+1}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_i))(f([u_p,u_q]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_q}\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2}))),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}_{\rho_A} f([u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2}))\\
=\;&\rho_A(\alpha^k[u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}})(f(\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2}))) && (20)\\
&+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{p}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_p))(f([u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2})))\\
&+\sum_{p=i+1}^{j-1}(-1)^{p+1}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_p))(f([u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2})))\\
&+\sum_{p=j+1}^{k+2}(-1)^{p}\rho_A(\alpha^{k+1}(u_p))(f([u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+2})))\\
&+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{1+p}f([[u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_p)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha^2(u_{k+2})) && (21)\\
&+\sum_{p=i+1}^{j-1}(-1)^{p}f([[u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_p)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\alpha^2(u_{k+2})) && (22)\\
&+\sum_{p=j+1}^{k+2}(-1)^{p+1}f([[u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha(u_p)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\alpha^2(u_{k+2})) && (23)\\
&+\sum_{p,q}(\pm)f([\alpha(u_p),\alpha(u_q)]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha[u_i,u_j]_{\mathfrak{g}},\alpha^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_{i,j,p,q}},\cdots,\alpha^2(u_{k+2})). && (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

In (24), $\widehat{u_{i,j,p,q}}$ means that we omit the items u_i, u_j, u_p, u_q. By the fact that α is an algebra morphism, i.e. $[\alpha(u_p),\alpha(u_q)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \alpha[u_p,u_q]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, we get

$$\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}(24) = 0.$$

By the hom-Jacobi identity, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\big((21)+(22)+(23)\big)=0.$$

At last, note that f commutes with α, we have that (20) equals to

$$\rho_A([\alpha^k(u_i),\alpha^k(u_j)]_{\mathfrak{g}})\circ A\circ f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,u_{k+2}).$$

Thus by (10), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+2}(-1)^{i+1}\big((18)+(19)\big)+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}(20)=0.$$

The sum of the other six items is zero obviously. Therefore, we have $\mathrm{d}^2_{\rho_A}=0$. The proof is completed.

References

[1] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi and A. Makhlouf, Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras, arXiv:1005.0456.

[2] S. Benayadi and A. Makhlouf, Hom-Lie Algebras with Symmetric Invariant NonDegenerate Bilinear Forms, arXiv:1009.4226.

[3] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 63 (1948) 85-124.

[4] I. Dorfman, *Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equation.* Wiley, 1993.

[5] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations, *J. Algebra* 295 (2006), 314-361.

[6] N. Jacobson, *Lie algbras.* Dover Publications, Inc. New York (1962).

[7] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities, *J. Algebra* 288 (2005) 321-344.

[8] D. Larsson and S. Silvestrov, Quasi-Lie algebras, *Contemp. Math.* 391 (2005) 241-248.

[9] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Notes on Formal Deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie Algebras, *Forum Math.*, 22 (2010), no. 4, 715-739.

[10] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* Vol. 2 (2008), No. 2, 51-64.

[11] Y. Sheng, Linear Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^4$, *J. Geom. Phys.* 57 (2007), 2398-2410.

[12] D. Yau, Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 42 (2009), 165202.

[13] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology, *J. Lie Theory* 19 (2009) 409-421.

[14] D. Yau, Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* 2 (2008), 95-108.